**Clark County’s Flawed Mail-In Voting ‘Audit’ Obscures Real Election Security Concerns**

***Clark County’s “audit” was tailor made to try and paint Clark County in the best possible light – not reach objective, independent conclusions.***

**NOT A TRUE INDEPENDENT AUDIT**

* Clark County officials are going out of their way to allay legitimate concerns about the county’s voting processes ahead of the 2022 midterms. Recently, the county released a report detailing the results of an alleged ‘audit’ of its mail-in voting process.
* While officials have tried to use the results to justify their policies, this ‘audit’ is no audit at all.
  + The report makes clear that it makes “no audit findings” and makes “no recommendations.”
* The ‘audit’ was conducted by a branch of the county government itself, far from what would be considered a true independent analysis.
* This report provides reason for Clark County voters to be concerned that election officials are not taking a serious approach towards ensuring the security of their upcoming elections.

**FAILURE TO EVALUATE KEY ELECTION RULES AND SAFEGUARDS**

* Investigators compiling the review wholly failed to vet or evaluate the efficacy of procedures put in place by the county.
* The report offered little to no details on the specific mail-in voting processes in Clark County, often merely stating “a process” is in place.
  + It offered no evaluations or assessments of potential issues with these processes and no comparisons with other jurisdictions.
* Investigators failed to report objective statistics from the 2022 primary, which could identify potential problems with the new voting processes, including:
  + The number of ballots returned as undeliverable or not returned at all.
  + Ballot rejection rates due to signature mismatches, over- or undervotes, or other issues.
  + Standards for the county’s automated signature verification system.
* Issues from prior general elections involving signature verification, ballots being automatically mailed to inaccurate voter registrations, and more, were unaddressed.
* The report did not lay out any accountability mechanisms to ensure procedures are followed.

**NEVADA’S DISASTROUS ELECTION LAW**

* A new Nevada Election Law, [Assembly Bill 321](https://www.leg.state.nv.us/App/NELIS/REL/81st2021/Bill/7842/Text), implemented earlier this year imposes inherently insecure voting policies pushed by liberal activists.
  + The law requires that officials automatically mail each active registered voter a ballot before an election. Poor voter list maintenance raises concerns that ballots will go to addresses for voters who have moved or died.
  + The law allows for ballots to be accepted after Election Day, raising the potential for delayed results in close races.
  + The law permits political operatives to engage in ballot trafficking.
* Nevada’s new election law helps demonstrate why the Heritage Foundation [ranks](https://www.heritage.org/electionscorecard/pages/states/nv.html) Nevada 50th in the nation on election integrity.
  + For example, Nevada has no voter ID requirements for either in-person or mail-in voting, raising serious concerns about its election security.